EXPRESS MAILING LABEL NO. EL685694253US DATE OF MAILING: 27 JUNE 2001



Attorney Docket No. INXT 1016-1 2-6-02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	application of:)	
MARI	ζ DAVIS et al.) Art Unit:	Unknown
Applic	cation No. Unassigned))) Evaminer:	Unassigned
Filed:	27 June 2001 (Herewith))	Onassignea
Title:	Method and Apparatus for Duplicate Detection)))	
		J	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.97

Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Listed on attached Form PTO-1449 is information known to applicant. A copy of each listed publication and U.S. and foreign patent, except for pending U.S. applications, is being submitted herewith, along with a concise explanation of information in a foreign language, if any, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97-1.98.

Applicant respectfully requests that the listed information be considered by the Examiner and be made of record in the above-identified application. The Examiner is requested to initial the enclosed form PTO-1449 return it in accordance with MPEP §609.

This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56.

<u>X</u>	This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because (check all that
	apply):

- <u>x</u> (1) It is being filed within 3 months of the application filing date -- OR -
- __ (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage -- OR --
- __ (3) It is being filed before the mail date of the first Office Action on the merits.

- _____ 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c). If this statement is being filed after the latest of: (1) three months beyond the filing date of a national application; (2) three months beyond the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in §1.491 in an international application; or (3) the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits, but before the mailing date of the earlier of a final office action under §1.113 or a notice of allowance under §1.311, then:
 - a certification as specified in §1.97(e) is provided below; or
 - a fee of \$180.00 as set forth in \$1.17(p) is authorized below, enclosed, or included with the payment of other papers filed together with this statement.
- _____ 37 C.F.R. §1.97(d). If this statement is being filed after the mailing date of the earlier of a final office action under §1.113 or a notice of allowance under §1.311, but before payment of the issue fee, then:
 - A. a certification as specified in §1.97(e) is completed below; and
 - B. a petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(d) requesting consideration of this statement is submitted herewith; and
 - C. a fee of \$180.00 as set forth in \$1.17(i)(1) is authorized below, enclosed, or included with the payment of other papers filed together with this statement.

____ Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the above-referenced fees of \$ _-0- and charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 50-0869 (Docket No. INXT 1016-1). A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 27 June 2001

Ernest J. Beffel Jr. Registration No. 43,489

HAYNES & BEFFEL LLP P.O. Box 366 Half Moon Bay, CA 94019 (650) 712-0340 (phone) (650) 712-0263 (fax)

SHEET 1 OF 1

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION			ATTY. DOCKET NO. INXT 1016-1		SERIAL NO.	570					
PTO-1449			APPLICANT: MARK DAVIS et al.			7.8. 93290					
			FILING DATE: 27 JUNE 20	GROUP:	c978 09/8	06/2					
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS											
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	PATENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE					
		FORE	IGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
EXAMINER'S	PATENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANS	LATION				
INITIALS				<u> </u>		YES	NO				
		٠,			·						
						<u> </u>					
	` `										
	OTHER DOC	CUMENTS (In	cluding Author, Title, Date, P	ertinent Pag	es, Etc.)						
	Daniel P. Lopresti A Comparison of Text-Based Methods for Detecting Duplication in Document Image Databases Document Recognition and Retrieval VII (IS&T/SPIE Electronic Imaging 2000), January 2000, San Jose, CA										
	Narayanan Shivakumar and Hector Garcia-Molina The SCAM Approach to Copy Detection in Digital Libraries Department of Computer Science Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 USA										
	William B. Frakes and Ricardo Baeza-Yates Information Retrieval Data Structures & Algorithms pp. 19-7										
	Ian H. Witten et al. Managing Gigabytes Compressing and Indexing Documents and Images pp. 181-188										
Fazli Can et al. A Dynamic Cluster Maintenance System for Information Retrieval SIGIR 1987 pp. 123-13											
	Fazli Can et al. Concepts of the Cover Coefficient-Based Clustering Methodology SIGIR 1985 pp. 204-21										
EXAMINER			DATE CONSIDERED								

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.